

Town of Carrboro
Subrecipient Risk Assessment and Monitoring

I. ASSESSMENT OF RISK

Before engaging in a subaward, the TOWN must evaluate a subrecipient's risk of noncompliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the subaward to determine whether to award the subaward and the appropriate subrecipient monitoring.

The TOWN's Chief Financial Officer will conduct the risk assessment, which will include consideration of the following factors:

- (1) The subrecipient's prior experience with the same or similar subawards;
- (2) The results of previous audits including whether or not the subrecipient receives a Single Audit in accordance with 2 CFR 200 Subpart F and the extent to which the same or similar subaward has been audited as a major program.
- (3) Whether the subrecipient has new personnel or new or substantially changed systems; and
- (4) The extent and results of Federal awarding agency monitoring (*e.g.*, if the subrecipient also receives Federal awards directly from a Federal awarding agency). 2 CFR 200.332(b).

The results of the risk assessment must be documented on the form, Subrecipient Assessment of Risk, and will be used to dictate the types and degree of subrecipient monitoring.

The TOWN will assign an overall risk level to the subrecipient indicating the following:

Low Risk	Moderate Risk	High Risk
There is a low risk that the subrecipient will fail to meet project or programmatic objectives or incur significant deficiencies in financial, regulatory, reporting, or other compliance requirements.	There is moderate risk that the subrecipient will fail to meet project or programmatic objectives or incur significant deficiencies in financial, regulatory, reporting, or other compliance requirements.	There is high risk that the subrecipient will fail to meet project or programmatic objectives or incur significant deficiencies in financial, regulatory, reporting, or other compliance requirements.

Note: If a proposed subrecipient is deemed high risk, the Chief Financial Officer must provide written justification to proceed with the subaward. The justification must be approved by the Town Attorney.

II. SUBRECIPIENT MONITORING

The TOWN will develop and implement a *subrecipient monitoring plan* for the particular subaward based on the findings of the Subrecipient Assessment of Risk. According to 2 CFR 200.332(d), the monitoring plan must involve:

- (1) Reviewing financial and performance reports required by the pass-through entity.
- (2) Following-up and ensuring that the subrecipient takes timely and appropriate action on all deficiencies pertaining to the Federal award provided to the subrecipient from the Town detected through audits, on-site reviews, and written confirmation from the subrecipient, highlighting the status of actions planned or taken to address Single Audit findings related to the particular subaward.
- (3) Issuing a management decision for applicable audit findings pertaining only to the Federal award provided to the subrecipient from the Town as required by 2 CFR [200.521](#).
- (4) The pass-through entity is responsible for resolving audit findings specifically related to the subaward and not responsible for resolving crosscutting findings. If a subrecipient has a current Single Audit report posted in the Federal Audit Clearinghouse and has not otherwise been excluded from receipt of Federal funding (*e.g.*, has been debarred or suspended), the pass-through entity may rely on the subrecipient's cognizant audit agency or cognizant oversight agency to perform audit follow-up and make management decisions related to cross-cutting findings in accordance with section 2 CFR [200.513\(a\)\(3\)\(vii\)](#). Such reliance does not eliminate the responsibility of the pass-through entity to issue subawards that conform to agency and award-specific requirements, to manage risk through ongoing subaward monitoring, and to monitor the status of the findings that are specifically related to the subaward.

The TOWN'S monitoring plan will vary based on the overall subrecipient risk assessment as low risk, medium risk, or high risk, detailed as follows:

Subrecipient Deemed Low Risk	Subrecipient Deemed Medium Risk	Subrecipient Deemed High Risk
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Payment validations (monthly) • Report reviews (quarterly) • Desk reviews (at least once per year and more frequently if requested by Town or subrecipient) • Onsite reviews (upon request of Town or subrecipient) • Audit review (yearly) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More detailed financial reporting • Payment validations (monthly) • Report reviews (bi-monthly) • Desk reviews (within 6 months of project start and every six months thereafter) • Onsite reviews (within 12 months of project start and annually thereafter, or more frequently as requested by Town or subrecipient) • Audit review (yearly) • Procedures engagement (if subrecipient not subject to Single Audit Act; yearly) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More detailed financial reporting • Compliance training (one-time) • Prior approvals for certain expenditures • Payment validations (monthly) • Report reviews (monthly) • Desk reviews (within 3 months of project start and at least quarterly thereafter) • Onsite reviews (within 6 months of project start and bi-annually thereafter, or more frequently as requested by Town or subrecipient) • Audit review (yearly) • Procedures engagement (if subrecipient not subject to Single Audit Act; yearly)

Payment validation: All subrecipient documentation for project expenditures must be reviewed by the TOWN for compliance with subaward requirements. Any non-compliant expenditures will be denied and the subrecipient will be provided a reasonable description of the reason for denial and an opportunity to cure the deficiency. For a subrecipient on a reimbursement-based payment structure, the validation will occur before a reimbursement payment is approved. For a subrecipient that received an up-front payment, any funds found to have been expended in violation of the subaward requirements must be repaid to the TOWN.

Report review: A subrecipient must submit financial and performance reports, based on the schedule set forth in the subaward. The nature and scope of the reports will depend on the project and be spelled out in the subaward. The reports will be reviewed by Town program staff and Finance. Any deficiencies or other performance concerns will be addressed with the subrecipient in a timely manner and could trigger additional monitoring requirements or other interventions, as specified in the subaward.

Desk review: The TOWN will conduct a meeting to review the subrecipient's award administration capacity and financial management. The meeting may be held virtually or in person. Topics covered will depend on project scope and subrecipient risk assessment and may include governance, budgeting, accounting, internal controls, conflict of interest, personnel, procurement, inventory, and record keeping. The TOWN will produce a report which summarizes the results and any corrective actions if deemed necessary. The report will be shared in a timely manner with the subrecipient.

Onsite review: The TOWN will conduct an on-site meeting at the subrecipient's location to review the subrecipient's project performance and compliance. Topics covered will depend on project scope and subrecipient risk assessment and may include project procurement, data systems, activity and performance tracking, project reporting, inventory, and software systems. The TOWN will produce a report which summarizes the results and any corrective actions deemed necessary. The report will be shared in a timely manner with the subrecipient.

Audit review: The TOWN must verify that every subrecipient is audited as required by [2 CFR 200 Subpart F](#) (Single Audit) when it is expected that the subrecipient's Federal awards expended during the respective fiscal year equaled or exceeded the threshold set forth in 2 CFR [200.501](#). The TOWN must obtain a copy of the subrecipient's Single Audit from the Federal Audit Clearinghouse (FAC). Within six months of the acceptance of the audit report by the FAC, the TOWN will issue a management decision for any audit findings related to the subaward. The decision will clearly state whether or not the audit finding is sustained, the reasons for the decision, and the expected auditee action to repay disallowed costs, make financial adjustments, or take other action. (The decision will include reference numbers the auditor assigned to each finding.) The decision will provide a timetable for responsive actions by the subrecipient. Prior to issuing the management decision, the TOWN may request additional information or documentation from the auditee, including a request for auditor assurance related to the documentation, as a way of mitigating disallowed costs.

Procedures engagement: Applicable only to subrecipients who are not subject to the Single Audit Act. An auditor will perform specific procedures and report on findings. The scope must be limited to the following compliance requirements: activities allowed or unallowed; allowable costs/cost principles; eligibility; and reporting. The review will be arranged and paid for by the TOWN. The TOWN will verify completion of the procedure's engagement. Within six months of the acceptance of the procedure's engagement report, the TOWN will issue a management decision for any findings related to the subaward. The decision will provide a timetable for responsive actions by the subrecipient. Prior to issuing the management decision, the TOWN may request additional information or documentation from the subrecipient, including a request for auditor assurance related to the documentation, as a way of mitigating disallowed costs.

The specific monitoring plan for each subrecipient, including the type and frequency of reviews, will be detailed in the subaward agreement. For all requirements beyond those listed under the

Low-Risk category above, the Town will notify the subrecipient of the following in the subaward:

- (1) The nature of the additional requirements;
- (2) The reason why the additional requirements are being imposed;
- (3) The nature of the action needed to remove the additional requirement, if applicable;
- (4) The time allowed for completing the actions if applicable; and
- (5) The method for requesting reconsideration of the additional requirements imposed.